Case 2:16-cv-00287-cr Document 277-5 Filed 07/01/20 Page 1 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

GARRET SITTS, et al.,	
PLAINTIFFS,	Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-00287-cr
v.	
DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC., and DAIRY MARKETING SERVICES, LLC,	
DEFENDANTS.	

EXHIBIT 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

GARRET SITTS, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-00287-cr
v.	
DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC. and DAIRY MARKETING SERVICES, LLC,	
Defendants.	
Detenuants.	

DEFENDANTS DAIRY FARMERS OF AMERICA, INC. AND DAIRY MARKETING SERVICES, LLC'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM FOR THE PLAINTIFF IDENTIFIED AS "GERRY DELONG, HOPE VALLEY FARMS" 1

Plaintiff seeks a finding of liability and damages relating to the Defendants Dairy Farmers of America, Inc. ("DFA") and Dairy Marketing Services, LLC ("DMS") (collectively, "DFA"). Because of the structure of the two entities, DFA and DMS are considered a single entity for purposes of deciding plaintiff's claims. As a result, your answers to the questions below pertain to DFA and DMS, collectively.

See Plaintiffs' List Of Twenty Plaintiffs For Trial To Begin On July 1, 2020, May 21, 2020, ECF No. 207 ("Plaintiffs' List Of Twenty Plaintiffs For Trial"). DFA does not concede that any plaintiff has been properly identified on ECF No. 207 and reserves its right to seek relief as to any improperly identified plaintiff.

Conspiracy To Restrain Trade Pursuant To 15 U.S.C. § 1

1.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a		
	preponderance of the evidence that a single, "multifaceted" conspiracy between		
	DFA, at least 5 cooperatives (including St. Albans, Land O'Lakes and Agri-Mark)		
	and at least 16 processors (including Dean, HP Hood, Farmland and Kraft) existed		
	to lower raw milk premiums below what they otherwise would have been in order		
	to eliminate competition between buyers of Grade A raw milk?		
	Yes: No:		
2.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong,		
	Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA		
	knowingly participated in the above conspiracy to lower raw milk premiums below		
	what they otherwise would have been in order to eliminate competition between		
	buyers of Grade A raw milk?		
	Yes: No:		
	[If you answered "No" to either Question 1 or 2, please proceed directly to		
	Question 9. If you answered "Yes" to both Question 1 and Question 2, you must		
	proceed to Question 3.]		
3.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a		
	preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a proper		
	relevant product market in which to assess his conspiracy claim?		
	Yes: No:		
	[If you answered "No" to this Question 3, please proceed directly to Question 9.		
	If you answered "Yes" to this Question 3, you must proceed to Question 4.]		
4.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a		

preponderance of the evidence that the Northeastern area defined by the plants

Yes:	No:
[If you answered "N	No" to this Question 4, please proceed directly to Questio
If you answered "Ye	s" to this Question 4, you must proceed to Question 5.]
Do you find that pla	nintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven
preponderance of th	e evidence that any conspiracy between DFA and at lea
cooperatives and at	least 16 processors had a substantial adverse effect
competition, in that	it lowered raw milk premiums below what they would
been absent the cons	spiracy, decreased output of raw milk, lowered the quali
raw milk, or reduce	d competition among purchasers of raw milk in a rele
geographic and prod	uct market between October 8, 2005 and 2017?
Yes:	No:
[If you answered "N	o" to this Question 5, please proceed directly to Question
If you answered "Ye	s" to Question 5, you must proceed to Question 6.]
Do you find that DF.	A proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any all
agreement between	it and the processors and cooperatives in the case benef
competition, in the fe	orm of increasing overall milk prices for farmers, reducing
costs to assemble, ha	ul or balance raw milk, maximizing the overall amount of
milk produced by da	iry farmers that is placed in processing plants or minim
the risk that the milk	will be "dumped" during times of oversupply, or in other
proven by DFA?	
V	No:

	Yes: No:
	[If you answered "No" to Question 7, please proceed directly to Question 9. If answered "Yes" to Question 7, you must proceed to Question 8.]
8.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven preponderance of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, he injured by a conspiracy between DFA and at least 5 cooperatives and at least processors, in that the conspiracy caused him specifically to receive lower premiums than he would have received during that time period absent a conspiration of the evidence of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, he injured by a conspiracy between DFA and at least 5 cooperatives and at least processors, in that the conspiracy caused him specifically to receive lower premiums than he would have received during that time period absent a conspiration of the evidence of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, he injured by a conspiracy between DFA and at least 5 cooperatives and at least processors, in that the conspiracy caused him specifically to receive lower premiums than he would have received during that time period absent a conspiration of the evidence of
	Yes: No:
psoni	zation Pursuant To 15 U.S.C. § 2
9.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a prelevant product market in which to assess his monopsony claim?
9.	preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a pre-
9.	preponderance of the evidence that "Grade A non-organic raw milk" is a prelevant product market in which to assess his monopsony claim?

sign and return the verdict form at this point. If you answered "Yes" to both

	Question 9 and Question 10, you must proceed to Question 11.]		
11.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA has monopsony power in a relevant product and geographic market?		
	Yes: No:		
	[If you answered "No" to Question 11, please proceed directly to Question 14. If you answered "Yes" to Question 11, you must proceed to Question 12.]		
12.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA willfully acquired or maintained monopsony power through predatory acts or practices, rather than through lawfully permitted conduct, that had the effect of harming competition for the purchase of raw milk in Order 1 from October 8, 2005 to 2017?		
	Yes: No:		
	[If you answered "No" to Question 12, please proceed directly to Question 14. If you answered "Yes" to Question 12, you must proceed to Question 13.]		
13.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, he was injured by predatory conduct by DFA that caused him specifically to receive lower milk premiums than he would have received during that time period absent DFA's predatory conduct?		
	Yes: No:		

Attempted Monopsony Pursuant To 15 U.S.C. § 2

Yes: No:			
[If you answered "No" to Question 14, please proceed direct subject to the instructions above. If you answered "Yes" to Queproceed to Question 15.]			
preponderance of the evidence that DFA possessed a specific interaction a relevant product and geographic market, such that it acted with of acquiring the buying power to control prices and to reduce	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DFA possessed a specific intent to monopsonize a relevant product and geographic market, such that it acted with the conscious aim of acquiring the buying power to control prices and to reduce the amount of its purchases and to exclude or destroy competing buyers of raw milk in Order 1?		
Yes: No:			
[If you answered "No" to Question 15, please proceed directly you answered "Yes" to Question 15, you must proceed to Quest	_		
If you find that DFA had the specific intent to achieve a monopsony and engaged in significant predatory conduct, do you also find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that there was a dangerous probability that DFA would succeed in achieving monopsony power in a relevant product and geographic market if it continued to engage in the same or similar conduct?			

17.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven preponderance of the evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, he injured by predatory conduct by DFA that caused him specifically to receive milk premiums than he would have received during that time period absent Dipredatory conduct?		
	Yes:	No:	
Conspiracy	y To Monopsonize Pursuant To 15 U	J.S.C. § 2	
18.	Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that a single, "multifaceted" conspiracy between DFA, at least 5 cooperatives (including St. Albans, Land O'Lakes and Agri-Mark) and at least 16 processors (including Dean, HP Hood, Farmland and Kraft) existed for DFA to obtain or maintain monopsony power in the raw milk market in Order 1?		
	Yes:	No:	
19.		Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a DFA knowingly entered into and/or participated	
	Yes:	No:	
	[If you answered "No" to either Question 18 or 19, but "Yes" to Question 8, 13, or 17, please proceed directly to Question 23, subject to the instructions above. If you answered "Yes" to both Question 18 and Question 19, you must proceed to Question 20.]		
20.	preponderance of the evidence maintain monopsony power in the	Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a that DFA specifically intended to acquire or ne proper market, such that it entered into the im of using predatory conduct to acquire or	

Yes:	No:	
[If you answered "I	o" to Question 20, please proceed directly to Question 23	
subject to the instruction	ions above. If you answered "Yes" to Question 20, you mus 21.]	
Do you find that pla	ntiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by	
preponderance of the evidence that DFA committed an overt act in furtherance of		
the conspiracy between	en October 8, 2005 and 2017?	
Yes:	No:	
[If you answered "I	o" to Question 21, please proceed directly to Question 23	
subject to the instruc proceed to Question	ions above. If you answered "Yes" to Question 21, you mus 22.]	
_	entiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proven by a evidence that, between October 8, 2005 and 2017, he was	
	acy to monopsonize that caused him specifically to receive	
	than he would have received during that time period absen	
the conspiracy?		
Yes:	No:	
[If you answered "Ye	" to any of the following Questions: 8, 13, 17 or 22, you may	
proceed to Question	23. If you have either not reached those Questions, or	
1 ((37))	of the following Overtions, 9 12 17 and 22 please sign and	
answered "No" to al	of the following Questions: 8, 13, 17, and 22, please sign and	

Damages

If you have found that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has proved hi			
claim for an unlawful conspiracy, unlawful monopsony, attempted monopsony	O 1		
conspiracy to monopsonize, do you find that he has provided a reasonable, reliab	16		
and non-speculative basis for determining damages sustained by these violations	;?		
Yes: No:			
[If you answered "Yes" to Question 23, you may proceed to Question 24. If you	οı		
answered "No" Question 23, please sign and return the verdict form at this poin	<i>t</i> .		
Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has carried hi			
burden to apportion damages between lawful and unlawful causes?			
Yes: No:			
[If you answered "Yes" to Question 24, you may proceed to Question 25. If you	οı		
answered "No" Question 24, please sign and return the verdict form at this poin	t.		
State the amount of damages proved by plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valle	ey		
Farms":			
	[If you answered "Yes" to Question 23, you may proceed to Question 24. If you answered "No" Question 23, please sign and return the verdict form at this point. Do you find that plaintiff "Gerry Delong, Hope Valley Farms" has carried burden to apportion damages between lawful and unlawful causes? Yes: No:		